

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
October 25, 1994

MOTION DOCKET

94-2216. Marsala v. Marsala.
Cuyahoga County, No. 67301. This cause is pending before the court
as a discretionary appeal and a claimed appeal of right. Upon
consideration of appellant's motion to stay enforcement of judgment,
IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to stay enforcement
of judgment be, and the same is hereby, denied, effective October
24, 1994.

Resnick, F.E. Sweeney and Pfeifer, JJ., dissent.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

94-234. Westlake Village, Inc. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 92-A-165. This cause is pending before
the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon
consideration of the joint application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application be, and the
same is hereby, granted, effective October 24, 1994.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause
be, and hereby is, dismissed.

94-2048. Woyma v. Begovic.
Cuyahoga County, No. 64985. This cause is pending before the court
as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of the joint

application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that said application be, and the same is hereby, granted, effective October 24, 1994.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

94-2235. State v. Wallace.

Hamilton County, No. C-900779. Appellant has filed an untimely notice of appeal of the court of appeals' decision denying his application for delayed reconsideration and a motion for delayed appeal. This appeal involves a civil, post-conviction matter and not an appeal of a felony case to which the provisions for delayed appeal in S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(4) apply. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the motion for delayed appeal be, and hereby is, stricken, effective October 24, 1994.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that, in that appellant failed to perfect an appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(1), this case be, and hereby is, dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

94-2241. State v. Jackson.

Cuyahoga County, No. 63535. Appellant has filed an untimely appeal of the court of appeals' decision denying his application for reopening under App.R. 26(B) and a motion for delayed appeal. This appeal involves a civil, post-conviction matter and not an appeal of a felony case to which the provisions for delayed appeal in S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(4) apply. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the motion for delayed appeal be, and hereby is, stricken, effective October 24, 1994.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that, in that appellant failed to perfect an appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(1), this case be, and hereby is, dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.