

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

June 6, 2003

---

### MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**1991-2137. State v. Campbell.**

Hamilton App. No. C-890330. By entry filed May 7, 2003, this court ordered that appellant's sentence be carried into execution on Friday, the 27th day of June, 2003. In order to facilitate this court's timely consideration of any matters relating to the execution of appellant's sentence,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the Chief Justice may suspend application of any provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court, including, but not limited to, the filing requirements imposed by S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(1).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that service of documents as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(2), shall be personal or by facsimile transmission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that counsel of record for the parties shall supply this court with a copy of any document relating to this matter that is filed in, or issued by, any other court in this state or any federal court, as well as any commutation, pardon, or warrant of reprieve issued by the Governor. A copy of the document shall be delivered to the Office of the Clerk as soon as possible, either personally or by facsimile transmission.

**2003-0427. Gruelich v. The Hartford.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 80987, 2003-Ohio-652. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. On June 2, 2003, appellee filed a memorandum opposing motion for reconsideration which was due May 30, 2003. Rule XIV, Section 1(C) of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court prohibits the filing of a memorandum that is not timely. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the memorandum opposing motion for reconsideration be, and hereby is, stricken.

**2003-0429. Straughan v. Flood Co.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 81086, 2003-Ohio-290. On June 2, 2003, appellees filed a memorandum opposing motion for reconsideration which was due May 30, 2003. Rule XIV, Section 1(C) of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court prohibits the filing of a memorandum that is not timely. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the memorandum opposing motion for reconsideration be, and hereby is, stricken.

**DISCIPLINARY CASES**

**2003-0628. Disciplinary Counsel v. Trumbo.**

On Certified Order of the Supreme Court of Kentucky, No. 2002-SC-0862-KB.

Keith Allan Trumbo is permanently disbarred.

**2003-0644. Disciplinary Counsel v. Colitz.**

On Certified Order of the United States Department of Commerce, United States Patent and Trademark Office, No. 99-04.

Michael John Colitz Jr. is suspended from the practice of law for five years with two years stayed.

**MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS**

**2003-0481. In re Lowden.**

On April 24, 2003, this court suspended respondent, Jeffrey T. Lowden, for an interim period pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(4). On May 30, 2003, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline submitted a notice pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1)(c) notifying this court that respondent no longer was in default of the child support order previously submitted to the court. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(1), respondent, Jeffrey T. Lowden, Attorney Registration No. 0071548, last known business address in Toledo, Ohio, be reinstated to the practice of law.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(D)(2), reinstatement of respondent shall not terminate any pending disciplinary proceedings against respondent.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

In re Report of the Commission :  
on Continuing Legal Education. :

E N T R Y

Joseph Jeffrey Church :  
(#0006961), :  
Respondent. :

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 2000-2001 reporting period.

On April 10, 2003, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On May 28, 2003, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On May 28, 2003, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On May 12, 2003, respondent satisfied all the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission be adopted and respondent, Joseph Jeffrey Church, is hereby reinstated to the practice of law.