

[Cite as *State ex rel. Williams v. Bessey*, 125 Ohio St.3d 447, 2010-Ohio-2113.]

**THE STATE EX REL. WILLIAMS, APPELLANT, v. BESSEY,
JUDGE, ET AL., APPELLEES.**

[Cite as *State ex rel. Williams v. Bessey*, 125 Ohio St.3d 447, 2010-Ohio-2113.]

*Appeal from dismissal of a petition for a writ of mandamus — Adequate remedy
at law — Judgment affirmed.*

(No. 2009-2295 — Submitted May 12, 2010 — Decided May 19, 2010.)

APPEAL from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County, No. 08AP-158,
2009-Ohio-5852.

Per Curiam.

{¶ 1} We affirm the judgment of the court of appeals dismissing the mandamus action filed by appellant, Alan Williams. In the absence of a patent and unambiguous lack of jurisdiction on the part of the judge to whom a case was allegedly transferred, Williams has an adequate remedy by appeal to challenge the transfer of the case to the judge. See *State ex rel. Carr v. McDonnell*, 124 Ohio St.3d 62, 2009-Ohio-6165, 918 N.E.2d 1004; *State ex rel. Key v. Spicer* (2001), 91 Ohio St.3d 469, 469, 746 N.E.2d 1119 (“a claim of improper assignment of a judge can generally be adequately raised by way of appeal”). Williams did not allege sufficient facts to indicate any jurisdictional defect, much less a patent and unambiguous one. We also deny Williams’s motion for oral argument.

Judgment affirmed.

BROWN, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O’CONNOR,
O’DONNELL, LANZINGER, and CUPP, JJ., concur.

Alan Williams, pro se.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Ron O'Brien, Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney, and Paul Thies,
Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, for appellees.
